

# Chapter instance, sociolinguists interested in the variation



**ASSIGN  
BUSTER**

CHAPTER 2 METHODOLOGY Introduction Sociolinguistics investigates how people use language in different environments. It attempts to link patterns of language use to some kind of non-linguistic reality – that is, to things like class, gender, racial or ethnic identification, and other. In order to investigate these phenomena one needs to have reliable research techniques. However, research techniques used and applied in sociolinguistic research are quite different in their nature and always depend on the research question under investigation. For instance, sociolinguists interested in the variation of a certain phonological feature across different social classes will depend on the quantitative methods used in variationist sociolinguistics, while sociolinguists interested in the code-switching practices among the bilingual Estonian speech community members might depend on the qualitative methods used in ethnographic research. Some research questions might require the application of different research methods.

This approach is called a mixed-method approach (or triangulation).

The present study has two parts which deal with the sociolinguistic study of:

1. Pronominals
  2. Address Terms
- The second person pronoun in Kashmiri has the variant forms *tsl* and *tohj* whose usage is shaped by the social factors and thus qualify for a sociolinguistic study. Similarly, the third person proximate and remote pronouns exist in their respective variant forms *yi*, *yim* and *su/so tim* which again require a sociolinguistic study. The method adopted for the sociolinguistic study of pronominals in this study is quantitative. The second part of this study deals with the address terms.

The address terms are more diverse and the method adopted for the sociolinguistic study of address terms in this study is mixed method blending the quantitative and the qualitative methods. Objectives The main objectives of the study are as under:

- To explore the social norms of usage of the pronominals and address terms in Kashmiri language.
- How the choice of Pronominals and address terms is influenced by the variables such as: a. Age b. Gender c. Education d. Region of residence

To accomplish the objectives set for study, a proper methodology was adopted for the pronominals and address terms as mentioned in the introductory part of this chapter. Methodology The methodology adopted for the pronominals and address is discussed in the next section.

Sample The sample chosen for the sociolinguistic study of pronominals consists of the native speakers of Kashmiri language aged 6 to 65 years. Choosing this sample of huge range worked well in the respect that the sample was deemed to be representative of the whole speech community covering all the age groups. The sampling technique adopted for the study was the stratified random sampling in which the samples were selected from the strata of the population on the basis of age, gender, region/place of residence and education. The total sample selected was 240 each for the study of pronouns and address terms. The whole sampling grid which is same for the study of pronominals and address terms is shown in the figure ---.

Sampling Grid

Age Groups	Gender	Residence	Education
Age Group 1 (80)			
Male (40)	Rural (20)	Educated (10)	Uneducated (10)
Urban (20)	Educated (10)	Uneducated (10)	
Female (40)	Rural (20)	Educated (10)	Uneducated (10)
Urban (20)	Educated (10)	Uneducated (10)	
Age Group 2 (80)			
Male (40)	Rural		

<https://assignbuster.com/chapter-instance-sociolinguists-interested-in-the-variation/>

(20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Urban (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Female (40) Rural (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Urban (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Age Group 3 (80) Male (40) Rural (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Urban (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Female (40) Rural (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Urban (20) Educated (10) Uneducated (10) Instruments

For the pronominal study, two instruments were used for the collection of data: 1. A Sociodemographic Questionnaire to elicit the social background of the participants (See Appendix A) 2.

A Written Questionnaire (see Appendix B) The use of a questionnaire was considered appropriate for this study for several reasons. The questionnaire could be distributed to a larger group, a large amount of specific information could be covered, the extra-linguistic factors under study could be included, and the standardized format ensured some uniformity of responses. Most importantly, they provide valuable information as to how people perceive their use of language. For the address terms an additional tool, that is, observation (participant and non-participant) was used to collect the data because of the diverse nature of address terms used in Kashmiri language. The advantage of using the participant observation was that it provided the information of the contexts of use of the address terms.

Thus, the tools used for studying address terms were: 1.

A Sociodemographic Questionnaire to elicit the social background of the participants (See Appendix C) 2. A Written Questionnaire (see Appendix D) 3. Observation (Participant and Non-Participant) Sociodemographic Questionnaires The questionnaires were written in English.

They consisted of sociodemographic questions (See appendix A and C) intended to collect information regarding social factors which describe the participant. The participants' information includes their age, sex, place of birth, educational qualification, and place of residence. Written Questionnaire for Pronouns The questionnaire (See appendix B) is concerned with sociolinguistic factors, and it probes the use of the second and third person pronouns of address and reference in addressing and referring to different people (family members, friends and acquaintances, professors, and strangers). All the entries in this questionnaire represent an interpersonal relationship (son-father, brother-sister, students-professor, etc.). For each item, participants were asked to mark four forms of address: (1) The form they would use to address a given interlocutor, and (2) The form they expect to receive from that person. (3) The form of third person proximate pronoun that they would use to refer to the referent.

(4) The form of the third person remote pronoun that they would use to refer to the referent. Written Questionnaire for Address Terms The questionnaire for the address terms (See appendix D) is similar to the one used for collecting data for pronominal study except for the thing it probes the use of address terms in the same set of relationships enlisted in the questionnaire for pronominal study. For each item participants were asked to make two responses: (1) the address term they would use to address a given interlocutor (2) the address term they expect to receive from that person. Observation Observation was used as an extra tool for the study of address terms to cover a huge range of address terms in different contexts.

The benefit of using observation as an extra tool was that many peculiar

addressforms were collected which could not be collected otherwise because of hugevariety of the modes of address available to the people to address a sameinterlocutor. Data CollectionAsmentioned before, data for this study were collected from the native speakersof Kashmiri residing in the valley.

The sample size for the sociolinguistic studywas 240 grouped into many subgroups on the basis of the social variables likeage, gender, education and place of residence. Thus a total of 240 filled inquestionnaires were collected to study the pronominals sociolinguistically. AnalysisTheStatistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) was employed in the statisticalanalysis of the data. Percentages of frequencies were calculated for each addressform, tsi, tohj, yi, yim, su/so and tim and crosstabulations were generated for each of theextralinguistic factors.

There are tables which associate pronoun usage witheach of the social variables like age, gender, education and place of residencein the domains like family, and other social domains. Operationalising the LinguisticVariables and Extralinguistic FactorsLinguisticVariablesThedependent linguistic variables of the study are the second and third person pronominaladdress and reference forms. The study distinguishes the use of tsi and tohj in the case of second person pronominaladdress and yi, yim, in the case of third person proximate referencepronominal and su/so and timin the case of third person remote reference pronominals. ExtralinguisticVariables Variables such as age of the speaker, gender, addresser-addressee relationship, andsocial class are generally considered in studies on address forms(Páez-Urdaneta 1980; Jaramillo 1986; Simpson 2002).

For the present study the variables that were considered were: age, gender, education and place of region/place of residence.. Age of the Speaker Age-graded variation is a stable variation which varies within a population based on age. That is, speakers of a particular age will use a specific linguistic form in successive generations. J.

K. Chambers cites an example from southern Ontario, Canada where the name of the letter 'Z' varies. Most of the English-speaking world pronounces it 'zed'; however, in the United States, it is pronounced 'zee'. A linguistic survey found that in 1979 two-thirds of the 12-year-olds in Toronto ended the recitation of the alphabet with the letter 'zee' where only 8% of the adults did so. Then in 1991, (when those 12-year-olds were in their mid-20s) a survey showed only 39% of the 20- to 25-year-olds used 'zee'. With respect to the present study the age was assumed to be one of the important independent variable affecting the use of the pronominals.

To see the effect of age on the pronominal usage, the total sample was grouped into three age groups: Age Group Age Range (in years) Age Group 1 6 to 25 Age Group 2 26 to 45 Age Group 3 46 and above The three age groups are assumed to have different social roles and responsibilities leading to their different psychological makeup which can account for the varied use of language across these three age groups. Gender of speaker (Feminine/Masculine): Studies such as Jaramillo (1996), for the Spanish spoken in Tucson, Arizona, have shown that there is a difference in the selection of one pronoun over another based on the sex of the speaker. In her study, men used tú more frequently than women in a work context. Similarly, Bartens (2003), in her study on address forms in Colombia, observed important <https://assignbuster.com/chapter-instance-sociolinguists-interested-in-the-variation/>

differences in the use of the pronouns based on gender, notably, the use by men of the pronoun *usted* to express solidarity. On the basis of gender the population for the present study was grouped into the universal categories of male and female.

**Region of Residence** Sociolinguists have always been concerned with place. Be it nation, region, county, city, neighborhood, or block, place has long been adduced as a key correlate of linguistic variation, and geography has often entered into explanations of variation. Since in the 19th century, dialectologists have been cataloguing and mapping how language varies from place to place.

Starting in the 1960s, sociolinguists turned their focus to “social facts” such as class, gender, and race as influences on talk, but they often continued to delimit their research sites as cities, neighborhoods and, in the U. S., states. Place has also played a role in accounts of variation in more metaphorical and more abstract ways: people’s “locations” in social networks affect the likelihood of their being linguistic leaders or followers; changes move from centers to peripheries, or sometimes from peripheries to centers, be these physical or social. Studies of the spread of language change have sometimes used models of diffusion from geography.

Like many other societies, social change of the Kashmiri society is a fact. The process of urbanization has affected the social relations and social networks. Having crept deep into the minds of the people, the idea of urbanization has become a factor for making the dichotomy between the rural and the urban areas.



The valley of Kashmir is geographically not a diverse place. The most of the regions of the valley do not have the amenities which qualify a place for being called urban. The difference on the basis of region is made between 'shahar' and 'gaam'- the prevalent concepts among the general population. The Revenue Department of the J&K state lists the Srinagar city as the only urban area and rest of the valley is falls under the rural category. This is the criterion which has been adopted for the present study in which the Srinagar city has been taken as an urban area and rest of the valley as rural.

The sample was selected from Srinagar representing urban Kashmir and four districts of South Kashmir (Anantnag, Pulwama, Kulgam and Shopian) representing rural Kashmir. Education The categorization on the basis of education, in the present study, resulted in two categories: Educated and Uneducated. The tags 'educated' and 'uneducated' were assigned to the respondents based on their educational qualifications which were operationalised for the present study. The unenrolled and primary school dropouts in all the three age groups were taken as uneducated while as people having qualified 10th standard and above were taken as educated. In the age group 1, all under study students above 5th standard were taken as educated.