

Remodeling the bone marrow microenvironment – a proposal for targeting pro-inflam...

[Health & Medicine](#)



Introduction

Myeloproliferative neoplasms (MPN) are a group of clonal malignant bone marrow (BM) diseases, originating from a hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) which acquired a MPN phenotypic driver mutation (i. e., in *JAK2*, *CALR*, or *MPL*), leading to constitutively active JAK-STAT signaling ([1](#), [2](#)). Although the pathogenesis of MPN is cell-intrinsic to hematopoietic cells, MPN cells also exert cell-extrinsic effects resulting in chronic inflammation that perturbs the BM niche, and which in turn contributes to the MPN phenotype and renders the niche less supportive of normal hematopoiesis (i. e., the malignant self-perpetuating niche) ([3](#)).

The three main MPN clinical entities are polycythemia vera (PV), displaying an increase in red blood cells, essential thrombocythemia (ET), presenting with increased platelets and primary myelofibrosis (PMF), showing fibrosis of the BM. Common features of MPN, most pronounced in myelofibrosis (MF) patients, are increased levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, leading to chronically increased inflammation in the BM and resulting in constitutional symptoms (e. g., fatigue, weight loss).

Eradicating malignant MPN cells in patients has so far failed in settings other than allogeneic HSC transplantation and in a minority of patients with PV and ET treated with interferon ([4](#), [5](#)). Another, complimentary approach to break the vicious cycle of aberrant “ cross talk” between malignant hematopoiesis and the BM microenvironment is to inhibit the secretion of pro-inflammatory cytokines in both malignant and non-malignant cell populations. This has the potential to limit the expansion of the malignant

hematopoietic clone and slow down or even prevent MPN disease progression.

In this review, we focus on secreted pro-inflammatory factors of MPN, cell-autonomous and cell non-autonomous contributors to MPN as well as novel approaches targeting these factors.

Cytokines and Soluble Mediators

A wide variety of immune-modulatory cytokines are elevated in MPN patients, including IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, IL-10, IL-11, IL-17, TNF α , and TGF β ([6](#) - [10](#)). Most of the listed cytokines are either pro-inflammatory like IL1 or directly pro-fibrotic factors as in the case of transforming growth factor beta (TGFB), with the exception of IL-10 which has an anti-inflammatory role. While MPN is caused by genetic mutations in HSC, its progression is often driven, at least in part, by inflammation. Cytokines like IL-1, IL-6, and TGF β have been identified to contribute to the pathogenesis of fibrosis and osteosclerosis of the BM ([11](#)). NF κ B signaling is frequently increased in MPN patients and required for downstream expression of pro-inflammatory cytokines like IL-8 ([12](#)). IL-8 itself has been implicated in leukemic transformation in MF patients ([10](#), [13](#)). In patients with PV, IL-12 levels correlate with hematocrit levels, IL-1 β correlates with leukocytosis, and IFN α as well as IFN γ with the risk of thrombosis. Lastly, MIP1 β has been shown to be associated with shorter overall survival ([14](#)). In patients with ET a recent longitudinal study on more than 400 patients described an ET-specific inflammatory cytokine signature comprising CCL11 (eotaxin), CXCL1 (GRO α), and epidermal growth factor (EGF) ([15](#)). Finally, chemical mediators such as reactive oxygen species

(ROS) have also been associated with inflammation-induced genomic instability and DNA damage in *JAK2*^{V617F}-positive MPN patients, and this topic has been reviewed elsewhere ([16](#) - [18](#)). In summary, it is now apparent that circulating cytokines are perturbed in MPN, not just in established MF, but also in PV and ET. Furthermore, these studies provide indirect evidence that inflammation is not just an “innocent bystander” in MPN, but also contributes to clinically relevant outcomes.

Cellular Contributors to Inflammation

Inflammation is increasingly thought to play an important role in the development of chronic myeloid malignancies like MPN as well in progression to acute leukemia ([19](#) - [22](#)). Several different cell types are involved in initiating and/or perpetuating inflammation. In this review, we address four major cellular contributors of inflammation in the context of MPN.

Hematopoietic Stem and Progenitor Cells

Recent advances in single-cell approaches have uncovered MPN-specific lineage-trajectories and transcriptional programs. In a recent study Psaila et al. combined single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) with targeted single-cell mutational analysis on the same MPN cell (TARGET-seq) in MF ([23](#)). They found that *JAK2*-mutant MF HSPCs are biased toward the megakaryocyte-lineage from an early HSC stage, where megakaryocytic surface markers (e. g., CD41) are absent ([23](#)). In an earlier paper, Nam et al. also linked genotyping of expressed genes to their transcriptional profile [Genotyping of Transcriptomes (GoT)] ([24](#)). They performed GoT on CD34⁺ cells from patients with *CALR*-mutated MPN and found upregulation of

NFKBIA and CXCL2 specifically in *CALR*-mutated HSPCs ([24](#)). Together, these studies indicate that MPN-specific pro-inflammatory transcriptional programs are activated early in the hematopoietic hierarchy in both *JAK2*-mutant and *CALR*-mutant MPN.

Monocytes

Studying leukocytes gained attention in MPN as it became apparent, they are not a mere by-product of the malignancy but also impact clinical outcomes. Leukocytosis is an independent risk factor for thrombosis ([25](#)) and there is growing evidence that activated monocytes contribute to MPN morbidity through secretion of pro-fibrotic cytokines and pro-thrombotic factors ([26](#)), regardless of their own mutational status ([27](#)). It has been shown that MPN patients with thrombotic events had higher levels of CD25⁺ monocytes compared to patients without thrombosis ([26](#)). MPN monocytes show an over-reactivity in their production of TNFA as a consequence of an impaired response to anti-inflammatory IL10, frequently elevated in MPN patients ([27](#)). The underlying mechanism is still unknown, however, this failure in response was seen in *JAK2*^{V617F}-positive and -negative monocytes from the same patients ([27](#)). A recent study by Fisher et al. found that classical CD14⁺ CD16⁻ monocytes, but also CD14⁺ CD16⁺ inflammatory monocytes as well as CD14⁻ CD16⁺ non-classical monocytes, all contribute to the overproduction of cytokines in MF, including TNF, TGFβ, and IL-10 amongst others ([28](#)). In the ET-specific inflammatory cytokine signature described by Øbro et al., they identified monocytes as the predominant producer of CXCL1

(GROa) in patient samples ([15](#)). Together, these findings highlight the non-cell autonomous contributions of monocytes in MPN.

Fibrocytes are a distinct cell population (related to monocytes), arising in the BM and displaying characteristics of both mesenchymal and myeloid hematopoietic cell origin. Human fibrocytes express stem cell markers (CD34) and monocyte markers (CD14, CD11) as well as markers of stromal cells (collagen I, III) ([29](#), [30](#)) and secrete the extracellular matrix (ECM) proteins, collagen I and vimentin ([31](#), [32](#)). A study by Verstovsek et al. found that MF patients carry clonal fibrocytes producing collagen and fibronectin, which are key constituents of fibrous tissue in the BM of MF patients ([30](#)). Transplanted MF BM displayed a fatal MF-like phenotype in immunocompromised mice. Interestingly, treatment with serum amyloid P (= pentraxin 2), a known fibrocyte inhibitor, reduced BM fibrosis and prolonged survival ([30](#)). Another recent study found that BM-derived fibrocyte-precursor CD14⁺/CD34⁺ monocytes, obtained from MF patients were able to induce an MF-like phenotype in immunocompromised mice ([33](#)). Mice developed splenomegaly, reticulin fibrosis and megakaryocyte clustering ([33](#)). Moreover, under TGF β stimulation, fibrocytes lose their CD34⁺ and CD45-positivity and express smooth-muscle actin (α -SMA) ([34](#)), making them myofibroblast-like. Myofibroblasts are contractile, fibrosis-causing and collagen-secreting cells ([35](#)).

Taken together, these studies support the idea that monocytes and their derivatives contribute to MF and are therefore potential candidates for future targeted therapies.

Megakaryocytes

Megakaryocytes are increased in the BM of MF patients, resulting in the overproduction of pro-fibrotic cytokines and are therefore considered to be a major cellular driver of BM fibrosis ([36](#) - [39](#)). Woods and colleagues found activation of Jak/Stat signaling and expansion of megakaryocytes in $Jak2^{V617F}$ -Pf4iCre mice, which was developed to restrict Cre recombinase-mediated excision to megakaryocytes and its progeny ([40](#)). Using $Jak2^{V617F}$ -Pf4iCre mice, Zahn et al. showed that $Jak2^{V617F}$ -mutant megakaryocytes promote the expansion of hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) in mice ([41](#)). A recent study verified that the expansion of HSPCs was due to constitutively active thrombopoietin/MPL signaling, resulting in increased megakaryocytes, and causing HSPC expansion through cell non-autonomous mechanisms ([42](#)). Moreover, expression of mutant $Jak2$ in megakaryocytes was sufficient to induce fibrosis and erythropoiesis, the latter due to increased levels of IL6 ([42](#)). This finding supports other studies showing a cell non-autonomous effect of the $Jak2$ -mutant clone on wildtype cells ([40](#)). While there have been earlier reports suggesting that Pf4iCre does not restrict recombination solely to megakaryocytic-lineage cells (i. e., “leaky” recombination in other lineages) ([43](#), [44](#)), a recent study by Mansier et al. investigated this specifically in the context of $Jak2^{V617F}$. Using Pf4iCre, the authors detected $Jak2^{V617F}$ expression in a fraction of HSCs ([45](#)), suggesting that recombination in HSC cannot be excluded as a contributing factor to some of the findings in the earlier studies focused on the cell non-autonomous effects of megakaryocytes in $Jak2^{V617F}$ -driven MPN ([40](#) - [42](#)).

Comprehensive single-cell sequencing is revolutionizing the field of hematology by providing high-resolution profiling of hematopoietic cell populations and by re-defining the hematopoietic hierarchy in normal and malignant hematopoiesis ([46](#) - [48](#)). Gene set enrichment analysis of megakaryocyte precursors (MkPs) revealed enrichment of inflammatory pathways in MF MkPs as compared to MkPs from healthy donors (HD) ([23](#)). A subset of these MkPs (displaying similar expression profiles between HD and MF MkPs), showed high expression of known mediators of MF (PDGA, CCL5, and CXCL5) ([23](#)). Most MF MkPs however, had a distinct transcriptional profile from HD MkPs, indicating the expansion an aberrant megakaryocyte population in MF ([23](#)). Some MkP populations display selective expression of AURKA, a kinase that has previously been proposed as a therapeutic target in MF ([39](#)). In addition, there have been several studies focused on the contributions of platelets to inflammation in MPN, a topic that was recently reviewed by Oyarzún and Heller ([49](#)).

In summary, megakaryocytes have been shown to contribute to MPN pathology, by fueling the proliferation of malignant and wildtype cells through cell non-autonomous effects, while also promoting inflammation and MF.

Mesenchymal Stromal Cells

It has been appreciated that BM mesenchymal stromal cells (MSCs) contribute to inflammation ([3](#)) and to the pathogenesis of MF ([50](#) - [52](#)). Importantly, it has been shown that MSC do not harbor JAK2^{V617F} ([30](#), [53](#) - [55](#)).

In experimental mouse models, perturbation of MSCs has been shown to induce BM fibrosis by indirectly influencing HSCs, as in the case of deletion of the retinoblastoma gene (Rb), a cell-cycle regulator in hematopoiesis. A study by Walkley et al. showed that genetic knockout of Rb in the entire hematopoietic system using the inducible MxCre system leads to a myeloproliferative phenotype and extramedullary hematopoiesis ([56](#)). However, this was not the result of an HSC cell-intrinsic phenotype but due to cell-extrinsic Rb-dependent crosstalk between HSCs and the BM niche ([56](#)). Another example where perturbation of MSC in experimental mouse models induced MF is in mice deficient in the expression of the retinoic acid receptor gamma (RAR γ ^{-/-}), specifically in the BM niche. Wildtype BM transplanted into RAR γ ^{-/-} mice showed an MPN phenotype mirroring several features of human MF ([57](#)), again highlighting the role of MSCs in driving MF phenotypes *in vivo* .

Specific subgroups of MSCs have been identified to be cellular drivers of BM fibrosis, including the Leptin receptor (Lepr) and Gli1⁺ MSCs ([58](#), [59](#)). Lepr⁺ MSCs differentiate into myofibroblasts in the context of thrombopoietin (TPO) overexpression-induced MF, accompanied by upregulation and secretion of proteins linked to MF (e. g., collagen) ([58](#)). Gli1⁺ and Lepr⁺ MSCs do not express the common hematopoietic surface marker CD45, highlighting a different process of myofibroblast differentiation as compared to monocyte-derived fibrocytes which are CD45⁺ ([59](#)). Blockade of the platelet-derived growth factor receptor α (Pdgfra), a driver of BM fibrosis in Lepr⁺ MSCs cells, strongly suppressed MSC growth. Conversely, Pdgfra

overexpression increased MSCs and extramedullary hematopoiesis. These findings highlight PDGFRA signaling as a potential therapeutic target in MF patients ([58](#)). Martinaud and colleagues performed whole transcriptome profiling of MSCs from patients with MF and from HD and found a clear pro-fibrotic and inflammatory signature in MSCs from patients with MF ([60](#)). MSCs from patients with MF overexpressed pro-inflammatory factors (e. g., TGF β 1, BMP2) and ECM components (e. g., glycosaminoglycans, chondroitin sulfate, and heparan sulfate) ([50](#)).

In summary, as the field has developed a better understanding of the cellular components of the BM microenvironment, this has led to a shift away from focusing solely on cell-intrinsic contributions to myeloid malignancies to a more holistic view of HSPCs in their BM niche.

Therapeutic Targeting of Soluble Mediators, the Malignant Bone Marrow and Cellular Contributors of MPN-Driven Inflammation

Simplified, there are two main approaches to treating MF. Firstly, the eradication of the malignant hematopoietic clone and secondly, the modulation of cellular components and soluble mediators including through inhibiting signaling pathways in MF.

Targeting Soluble Inflammatory Mediators

Inflammation plays a role in all MPN subgroups, most pronounced in MF patients. It has been shown that inhibiting specific cytokines like IL-1 β or the Nf κ B pathway can either decrease hematopoietic cell growth *ex vivo* ([61](#)) or even diminish fibrosis *in vivo* ([62](#)). Targeting soluble mediators in MF

patients serves predominantly to ameliorate constitutional symptoms and reduce frequent comorbidities like MF -associated anemia. In patients with MF, reduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines induced by treatment with the JAK1/2 inhibitor, ruxolitinib correlated with symptomatic improvement ([63](#)). More recently, Fisher et al., using mass cytometry, found a limited effect on the levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines in MF patients treated with ruxolitinib ([28](#)) with plasma cytokine levels remaining markedly abnormal despite JAK2 inhibition ([28](#)). Some of the elevated cytokines were responsive to *ex vivo* pharmacological inhibition of the NfκB and/or the MAP kinase signaling pathway ([28](#)), highlighting the importance of these pathways for future cytokine-directed therapies in MF.

Momelotinib, a JAK1/2 inhibitor, which also inhibits the activin A receptor type 1 (ACVR1) has shown significant improvement in anemia in treated MF patients ([64](#), [65](#)). It is thought that the anemia response may be mediated via an indirect mechanism resulting in suppression of hepcidin and releasing storage iron to promote erythropoiesis ([66](#), [67](#)). Another agent, currently in a phase II study for MF patients (NCT03194542), is luspatercept, a TGFB super family ligand-binding fusion protein which reduces downstream SMAD signaling, and acts as an erythroid maturation agent ([68](#), [69](#)). Notably, luspatercept recently gained FDA-approval for the treatment of anemia associated with beta-thalassemia and for myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS)-related anemia (NCT02631070, NCT03682536) ([70](#), [71](#)). INCB039110, a JAK1 inhibitor was tested in a phase II clinical trial for MF patients and aimed to reduce elevated cytokine levels to improve constitutional symptoms ([72](#)). Plasma pro-inflammatory cytokine levels (e. g., CRP, IL-6, VEGF) were

significantly decreased in most patients. JAK2 ^{V617F} allele burden, however, was non-significantly changed ([72](#)). In about half of the patients, red blood cell transfusions could be reduced by 50% or more during the duration of the study, spleen volume was slightly decreased and effects on myelopoiesis were mild ([72](#)).

Targeting Malignant Hematopoietic Cells

The first targeted therapy for MPN patients was introduced in 2011 when the JAK1/2 inhibitor ruxolitinib (INCB-018424) gained FDA-approval for the treatment of patients with intermediate and high-risk MF ([13](#), [73](#)). This approach led to a decrease in spleen size and reduction in constitutional symptoms and a better 5-year overall survival, however, ruxolitinib does not substantially reduce the JAK2 ^{V617F} variant allele fraction ([74](#) - [77](#)).

Limitations in targeting JAK2 are caused by the dependency of normal hematopoiesis on JAK2, resulting in on-target toxicity in the form of anemia and thrombocytopenia in patients with MF treated with JAK2 inhibitors ([77](#), [78](#)). Fedratinib is a selective JAK2-kinase inhibitor which also showed significant reduction in spleen size and improvement in constitutional symptoms in patients with MF and was recently was FDA-approved as both a first line and second-line therapy (following ruxolitinib failure) in MF ([79](#) - [82](#)). Several other JAK inhibitors are currently in late phase clinical trials (e. g., momelotinib and pacritinib) and will likely gain FDA-approval also.

Targeting megakaryocytes selectively has shown efficacy in several preclinical and early phase clinical studies ([38](#), [39](#)). Three approaches regulating megakaryocyte maturation have shown benefits. First, anagrelide,

a megakaryocyte maturation inhibitor ([83](#)), was shown to be effective in ET patients ([84](#)). Second, targeting AURKA which was recently shown to be differentially expressed in *JAK2* -mutant MkPs in MF ([23](#)), with alisertib (MLN8237) promoted megakaryocyte polyploidization and to reduced MF in preclinical studies ([39](#)) and has shown some benefits in MF patients ([85](#)). Third, bomedemstat (IMG-7289), an inhibitor of LSD1, an enzyme essential for platelet formation ([86](#)), was recently granted FDA fast-track designation for the treatment of ET patients (NCT04254978). In murine models of MPN, IMG-7289 has shown efficacy in reducing inflammation, splenomegaly and fibrosis, in addition to prolonged survival ([87](#)). IMG-7289 killed *Jak2*^{V617F} -mutant cells selectively and synergized with Jak inhibition in pre-clinical MPN mouse models ([87](#)). Bomedemstat is currently in phase IIb clinical trials for MF patients (NCT03136185).

Recently, Psaila et al. showed differential increased expression of G6B in *JAK2* -mutant HSPCs in MF (as compared to wildtype HSPCs from the same patient) ([23](#)). G6B is an immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibition motif (ITIM)-containing inhibitory receptor, normally expressed exclusively on mature megakaryocytes in normal hematopoiesis ([23](#), [88](#), [89](#)). The authors identified *JAK2* -mutant HSPCs using G6B expression and validated this cell surface marker as a candidate for specifically targeting *JAK2* -mutant HSPCs in MF, using a bi-specific antibody (against CD34 and G6B), as a potential future novel therapeutic strategy ([23](#)).

As PMF is characterized by the progressive deposition of ECM proteins ([90](#)), another therapeutic approach is to normalize the composition of the ECM.

Lysyl oxidases (LOXs) have been demonstrated to be important in this process by cross-linking collagens and elastins through deamination of lysins and hydroxylysins, resulting in a stiffer ECM consistency ([91](#)). Lysyl oxidases are expressed in immature megakaryocytes and downregulated in mature megakaryocytes but upregulated in MF patient megakaryocytes and in murine models of MF ([38](#), [92](#), [93](#)). Lysyl oxidase inhibition has shown efficacy in Gata1^{low} ([38](#)) and JAK2^{V617F} mouse models of MF ([94](#) - [96](#)). However a recent phase 2 study of simtuzumab, a monoclonal inhibitor of LOX2 did not reduce bone fibrosis in patients with MF ([97](#)).

In conclusion, more effectively targeting cellular components of malignant hematopoiesis in MPN remains an ongoing goal within the field.

Targeting the Bone Marrow Niche

Therapeutically targeting the BM stroma has gained more attention in the treatment of MF ([59](#), [98](#)). As highlighted before, Gli1⁺ MSC were shown to be an important driver of MF in mouse models highlighting them as a potential therapeutic target. Gli1 as well as Ptch1 are known hedgehog (Hh) target genes, previously shown to be increased in MPN patients ([99](#)).

Treatment with the Gli inhibitor, GANT61 in a JAK2^{V617F} MF mouse model reduced the expression of mediators of inflammation and fibrosis significantly (e. g., MMP9, CXCR4, endothelin 1) ([59](#)). Moreover, treatment also reduced Stat5 expression in JAK2^{V617F}-mutant cells, thereby decreasing pro-inflammatory signaling in the BM and interrupting the self-reinforcing cycle of inflammation, myofibroblast differentiation and ECM deposition. *Ex vivo* treatment of primary human MPN MSCs with GANT61

reduced the expression of both α -SMA and GLI1 and increased apoptosis (as compared to vehicle treatment) ([59](#)). These findings suggest selective targeting of GLI1-positive myofibroblasts by the inhibitor, making it an attractive candidate for potential clinical use in MPN patients ([59](#)).

The Nf κ B pathway has been shown to be activated in JAK2 mutated MPN. Recently, a potential combinatorial therapeutic approach for MPN patients has been proposed, by targeting inflammation through reduction of Nf κ B activity using BET inhibition in combination with JAK inhibition ([62](#)). Using MPN mouse models, Kleppe et al. showed that increased Nf κ B activity in MPN is partly cell-extrinsic, highlighting the importance of targeting the BM microenvironment. The BET inhibitor, JQ1 showed potent anti-fibrotic effects and cooperated with Jak inhibition to ameliorate inflammation ([62](#)).

Moreover, the NFKB pathway has also been shown to be upregulated in *CALR* mutated MPN HSPCs ([24](#)), suggesting that BET inhibition might also be effective in *CALR* -mutant MPN patients. Preliminary data using the BET inhibitor, CPI-0610 in MF patients either alone or in combination with ruxolitinib (MANIFEST study), showed beneficial effects. CPI-0610 alone or as an add-on to ruxolitinib was well-tolerated and showed a reduction in BM fibrosis, spleen size and amelioration of anemia in MF patients ([100](#), [101](#)).

Taken together, these studies underscore the importance of treatment strategies for MPN that target the BM niche and highlight the potential for combinatorial targeting of both the malignant hematopoietic clone and the BM microenvironment to have enhanced efficacy.

Conclusion

MPN comprise a group of clonal malignant hematopoietic disorders with common features such as myeloproliferation and systemic inflammation. While genetic driver mutation-specific targeted therapy is at the center of MPN research, recent evidence highlights the importance of regulating inflammation in MPN. Malignant and non-malignant cellular contributors such as megakaryocytes and monocytes, as well as the BM niche, promote disease progression and cause considerable morbidity. This emphasizes the importance of a broader approach to simultaneously inhibit several pathogenic contributors in MPN, with the goal of improving treatment outcomes. Ongoing studies will shed light on the efficacy (and potential toxicity) of combining targeted therapies with anti-inflammatory approaches for the treatment of MPN.

Author Contributions

JJ drafted the manuscript. Both authors designed the outline for the manuscript and edited and approved the manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the NIH (R01HL131835 to AM), the MPN Research Foundation (AM), the Gabrielle's Angel Foundation for Cancer Research (AM), and the German Research Foundation (DFG, JU3104/2-1 to JJ). AM is a Scholar of The Leukemia & Lymphoma Society.

Conflict of Interest

AM has received honoraria from Blueprint Medicines, Roche, and Incyte and receives research support from Janssen.

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

The remaining author declares that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. Marneth AE, Mullally, A. The molecular genetics of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Csh Perspect Med.* (2019) 10: a034876. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect. a034876

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

2. Mead AJ, Mullally, A. Myeloproliferative neoplasm stem cells. *Blood.* (2017) 129: 1607–16. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-10-696005

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

3. Schepers K, Pietras EM, Reynaud D, Flach J, Binnewies M, Garg T, et al. Myeloproliferative neoplasia remodels the endosteal bone marrow niche into a self-reinforcing leukemic niche. *Cell Stem Cell.* (2013) 13: 285–99. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2013.06.009

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

4. Kiladjian J-J, Cassinat B, Chevret S, Turlure P, Cambier N, Roussel M, et al. Pegylated interferon-alfa-2a induces complete hematologic and molecular responses with low toxicity in polycythemia vera. *Blood.* (2008) 112: 3065–72. doi: 10.1182/blood-2008-03-143537

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

5. Gisslinger H, Klade C, Georgiev P, Krochmalczyk D, Gercheva-Kyuchukova L, Egyed M, et al. Ropoginterferon alfa-2b versus standard therapy for polycythaemia vera (PROUD-PV and CONTINUATION-PV): a randomised, non-inferiority, phase 3 trial and its extension study. *Lancet Haematol.* (2020) 7: e196–208. doi: 10.1016/s2352-3026(19)30236-4

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

6. Panteli KE, Hatzimichael EC, Bouranta PK, Katsaraki A, Seferiadis K, Stebbing J, et al. Serum interleukin (IL)–1, IL–2, sIL–2Ra, IL–6 and thrombopoietin levels in patients with chronic myeloproliferative diseases. *Brit J Haematol.* (2005) 130: 709–15. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2005.05674.x

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

7. Boissinot M, Cleyrat C, Vilaine M, Jacques Y, Corre I, Hermouet S. Anti-inflammatory cytokines hepatocyte growth factor and interleukin-11 are over-expressed in Polycythemia vera and contribute to the growth of clonal erythroblasts independently of JAK2V617F. *Oncogene.* (2011) 30: 990–1001. doi: 10.1038/onc.2010.479

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

8. Hermouet S, Godard A, Pineau D, Corre I, Raheer S, Lippert E, et al. Abnormal production of interleukin (IL)-11 and IL-8 in polycythaemia vera. *Cytokine.* (2002) 20: 178–83. doi: 10.1006/cyto.2002.1994

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

9. Allegra A, Alonci A, Bellomo G, D'Angelo A, Granata A, Russo S, et al. Evaluation of interleukin-17 serum levels in patients with chronic myeloproliferative diseases. *Tumori J.* (2008) 95: 404–5. doi: 10.1177/030089160909500326

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

10. Tefferi A, Vaidya R, Caramazza D, Finke C, Lasho T, Pardanani, A. Circulating interleukin (IL)-8, IL-2R, IL-12, and IL-15 levels are independently prognostic in primary myelofibrosis: a comprehensive cytokine profiling study. *J Clin Oncol.* (2011) 29: 1356–63. doi: 10.1200/jco.2010.32.9490

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

11. Tefferi, A. Pathogenesis of myelofibrosis with myeloid metaplasia. *J Clin Oncol.* (2005) 23: 8520–30. doi: 10.1200/jco.2004.00.9316

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

12. Buss H, Handschick K, Jurrmann N, Pekkonen P, Beuerlein K, Müller H, et al. Cyclin-dependent kinase 6 phosphorylates NF- κ B P65 at Serine 536 and contributes to the regulation of inflammatory gene expression. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7: e51847. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051847

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

13. Barbui T, Tefferi A, Vannucchi AM, Passamonti F, Silver RT, Hoffman R, et al. Philadelphia chromosome-negative classical myeloproliferative neoplasms: revised management recommendations from European

LeukemiaNet. *Leukemia*. (2018) 32: 1057–69. doi: 10. 1038/s41375-018-0077-1

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

14. Vaidya R, Gangat N, Jimma T, Finke CM, Lasho TL, Pardanani A, et al. Plasma cytokines in polycythemia vera: phenotypic correlates, prognostic relevance, and comparison with myelofibrosis. *Am J Hematol*. (2012) 87: 1003–5. doi: 10. 1002/ajh. 23295

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

15. Nina FØ, Jacob G, Miriam B, Melissa I, Sm S, Nageswara RT, et al. Longitudinal cytokine profiling identifies GRO- α and EGF as potential biomarkers of disease progression in essential thrombocythemia. *HemaSphere*. (2020) 4: 371. doi: 10. 1097/hs9. 0000000000000371

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

16. Bjørn ME, Hasselbalch HC. The role of reactive oxygen species in myelofibrosis and related neoplasms. *Mediat Inflamm*. (2015) 2015: 648090. doi: 10. 1155/2015/648090

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

17. Hasselbalch HC, Thomassen M, Riley CH, Kjær L, Larsen TS, Jensen MK, et al. Whole blood transcriptional profiling reveals deregulation of oxidative and antioxidative defence genes in myelofibrosis and related neoplasms.

Potential implications of downregulation of Nrf2 for genomic instability and

disease progression. *PLoS One*. (2014) 9: e112786. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0112786

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

18. Koschmieder S, Chatain, N. Role of inflammation in the biology of myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood Rev*. (2020) 20: 100711. doi: 10.1016/j.blre.2020.100711

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

19. Koschmieder S, Mughal TI, Hasselbalch HC, Barosi G, Valent P, Kiladjian J-J, et al. Myeloproliferative neoplasms and inflammation: whether to target the malignant clone or the inflammatory process or both. *Leukemia*. (2016) 30: 1018–24. doi: 10.1038/leu.2016.12

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

20. Fleischman AG, Aichberger KJ, Luty SB, Bumm TG, Petersen CL, Doratotaj S, et al. TNF α facilitates clonal expansion of JAK2V617F positive cells in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Blood*. (2011) 118: 6392–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-04-348144

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

21. Lu M, Xia L, Liu Y-C, Hochman T, Bizzari L, Aruch D, et al. Lipocalin produced by myelofibrosis cells affects the fate of both hematopoietic and marrow microenvironmental cells. *Blood*. (2015) 126: 972–82. doi: 10.1182/blood-2014-12-618595

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

22. Hasselbalch, HC. Perspectives on chronic inflammation in essential thrombocythemia, polycythemia vera, and myelofibrosis: is chronic inflammation a trigger and driver of clonal evolution and development of accelerated atherosclerosis and second cancer? *Blood*. (2012) 119: 3219-25. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-11-394775

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

23. Psaila B, Wang G, Rodriguez-Meira A, Li R, Heuston EF, Murphy L, et al. Single-cell analyses reveal megakaryocyte-biased hematopoiesis in myelofibrosis and identify mutant clone-specific targets. *Mol Cell*. (2020) 78: 477-92. e8. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2020.04.008

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

24. Nam AS, Kim K-T, Chaligne R, Izzo F, Ang C, Taylor J, et al. Somatic mutations and cell identity linked by genotyping of transcriptomes. *Nature*. (2019) 571: 355-60. doi: 10.1038/s41586-019-1367-0

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

25. Carobbio A, Thiele J, Passamonti F, Rumi E, Ruggeri M, Rodeghiero F, et al. Risk factors for arterial and venous thrombosis in WHO-defined essential thrombocythemia: an international study of 891 patients. *Blood*. (2011) 117: 5857-9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2011-02-339002

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

26. Goette NP, Lev PR, Heller PG, Kornblihtt LI, Korin L, Molinas FC, et al. Monocyte IL-2R α expression is associated with thrombosis and the JAK2V617F mutation in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Cytokine*. (2010) 51: 67–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2010.04.011

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

27. Lai HY, Brooks SA, Craver BM, Morse SJ, Nguyen TK, Haghghi N, et al. Defective negative regulation of Toll-like receptor signaling leads to excessive TNF- α in myeloproliferative neoplasm. *Blood Adv*. (2019) 3: 122–31. doi: 10.1182/bloodadvances.2018026450

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

28. Fisher DAC, Miner CA, Engle EK, Hu H, Collins TB, Zhou A, et al. Cytokine production in myelofibrosis exhibits differential responsiveness to JAK-STAT, MAP kinase, and NF κ B signaling. *Leukemia*. (2019) 33: 1978–95. doi: 10.1038/s41375-019-0379-y

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

29. Pilling D, Fan T, Huang D, Kaul B, Gomer, RH. Identification of markers that distinguish monocyte-derived fibrocytes from monocytes macrophages, and fibroblasts. *PLoS One*. (2009) 4: e7475. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0007475

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

30. Verstovsek S, Manshouri T, Pilling D, Bueso-Ramos CE, Newberry KJ, Prijic S, et al. Role of neoplastic monocyte-derived fibrocytes in primary myelofibrosis. Fibrocytes induce bone marrow fibrosis in PMF. *J Exp Med.* (2016) 213: 1723–40. doi: 10. 1084/jem. 20160283

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

31. Herzog EL, Bucala, R. Fibrocytes in health and disease. *Exp Hematol.* (2010) 38: 548–56. doi: 10. 1016/j. exphem. 2010. 03. 004

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

32. Gomperts BN, Strieter, RM. Fibrocytes in lung disease. *J Leukocyte Biol.* (2007) 82: 449–56. doi: 10. 1189/jlb. 0906587

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

33. Manshouri T, Verstovsek S, Harris DM, Veletic I, Zhang X, Post SM, et al. Primary myelofibrosis marrow-derived CD14+/CD34- monocytes induce myelofibrosis-like phenotype in immunodeficient mice and give rise to megakaryocytes. *PLoS One.* (2019) 14: e0222912. doi: 10. 1371/journal. pone. 0222912

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

34. Eyden, B. The myofibroblast: a study of normal, reactive and neoplastic tissues, with an emphasis on ultrastructure. Part 1–normal and reactive cells. *J Submicr Cytol Path.* (2005) 37: 109–204.

[Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

35. Cox TR, Erler, JT. Molecular pathways: connecting fibrosis and solid tumor metastasis. *Clin Cancer Res Official J Am Assoc Cancer Res.* (2014) 20: 3637–43. doi: 10. 1158/1078-0432. ccr-13-1059

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

36. Ciurea SO, Merchant D, Mahmud N, Ishii T, Zhao Y, Hu W, et al. Pivotal contributions of megakaryocytes to the biology of idiopathic myelofibrosis. *Blood.* (2007) 110: 986–93. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2006-12-064626

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

37. Martyré M–C, Bousse–Kerdiles M–CL, Romquin N, Chevillard S, Praloran V, Demory J–L, et al. Elevated levels of basic fibroblast growth factor in megakaryocytes and platelets from patients with idiopathic myelofibrosis. *Brit J Haematol.* (1997) 97: 441–8. doi: 10. 1046/j. 1365-2141. 1997. 292671.

x

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

38. Eliades A, Papadantonakis N, Bhupatiraju A, Burridge KA, Johnston-Cox HA, Migliaccio AR, et al. Control of megakaryocyte expansion and bone marrow fibrosis by lysyl oxidase. *J Biol Chem.* (2011) 286: 27630–8. doi: 10. 1074/jbc. m111. 243113

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

39. Wen QJ, Yang Q, Goldenson B, Malinge S, Lasho T, Schneider RK, et al. Targeting megakaryocytic-induced fibrosis in myeloproliferative neoplasms by AURKA inhibition. *Nat Med.* (2015) 21: 1473–80. doi: 10. 1038/nm. 3995

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

40. Woods B, Chen W, Chiu S, Marinaccio C, Fu C, Gu L, et al. Activation of JAK/STAT signaling in megakaryocytes sustains myeloproliferation in vivo. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25: 5901–12. doi: 10. 1158/1078-0432. ccr-18-4089

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

41. Zhan H, Ma Y, Lin CHS, Kaushansky, K. JAK2V617F-mutant megakaryocytes contribute to hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell expansion in a model of murine myeloproliferation. *Leukemia.* (2016) 30: 2332–41. doi: 10. 1038/leu. 2016. 114

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

42. Zhang Y, Lin CHS, Kaushansky K, Zhan, H. JAK2V617F megakaryocytes promote hematopoietic stem/progenitor cell expansion in mice through thrombopoietin/MPL signaling. *Stem Cells.* (2018) 36: 1676–84. doi: 10. 1002/stem. 2888

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

43. Calaminus SDJ, Guitart A, Sinclair A, Schachtner H, Watson SP, Holyoake TL, et al. Lineage tracing of Pf4-cre marks hematopoietic stem cells and their progeny. *PLoS One.* (2012) 7: e51361. doi: 10. 1371/journal. pone. 0051361

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

44. Nagy Z, Vögtle T, Geer MJ, Mori J, Heising S, Nunzio GD, et al. The Gp1ba-Cre transgenic mouse: a new model to delineate platelet and leukocyte functions. *Blood*. (2019) 133: 331–43. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-09-877787

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

45. Mansier O, Kilani B, Guitart AV, Guy A, Gourdou-Latyszenok V, Marty C, et al. Description of a knock-in mouse model of JAK2V617F MPN emerging from a minority of mutated hematopoietic stem cells. *Blood*. (2019) 134: 2383–7. doi: 10.1182/blood.2019001163

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

46. Notta F, Zandi S, Takayama N, Dobson S, Gan OI, Wilson G, et al. Distinct routes of lineage development reshape the human blood hierarchy across ontogeny. *Sci New York N Y*. (2015) 351: aab2116. doi: 10.1126/science.aab2116

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

47. Rodriguez-Fraticelli AE, Wolock SL, Weinreb CS, Panero R, Patel SH, Jankovic M, et al. Clonal analysis of lineage fate in native haematopoiesis. *Nature*. (2018) 553: 212–6. doi: 10.1038/nature25168

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

48. Giustacchini A, Thongjuea S, Barkas N, Woll PS, Povinelli BJ, Booth CAG, et al. Single-cell transcriptomics uncovers distinct molecular signatures of
<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

stem cells in chronic myeloid leukemia. *Nat Med.* (2017) 23: 692–702. doi: 10.1038/nm.4336

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

49. Oyarzún CPM, Heller PG. Platelets as mediators of thromboinflammation in chronic myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Front Immunol.* (2019) 10: 1373. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.01373

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

50. Desterke C, Martinaud C, Ruzehaji N, Bousse-Kerdilès, M-CL. Inflammation as a keystone of bone marrow stroma alterations in primary myelofibrosis. *Mediat Inflamm.* (2015) 2015: 1–16. doi: 10.1155/2015/415024

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

51. Kuter DJ, Bain B, Mufti G, Bagg A, Hasserjian, RP. Bone marrow fibrosis: pathophysiology and clinical significance of increased bone marrow stromal fibres: review. *Br J Haematol.* (2007) 139: 351–62. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2141.2007.06807.x

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

52. Kramann R, Schneider RK, DiRocco DP, Machado F, Fleig S, Bondzie PA, et al. Perivascular Gli1+ progenitors are key contributors to injury-induced organ fibrosis. *Cell Stem Cell.* (2014) 16: 51–66. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2014.11.004

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

53. Mercier F, Monczak Y, François M, Prchal J, Galipeau, J. Bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells of patients with myeloproliferative disorders do not carry the JAK2-V617F mutation. *Exp Hematol.* (2009) 37: 416–20. doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2008.11.008

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

54. Pieri L, Guglielmelli P, Bogani C, Bosi A, Vannucchi, AM. (MPD-RC) MDRC. Mesenchymal stem cells from JAK2(V617F) mutant patients with primary myelofibrosis do not harbor JAK2 mutant allele. *Leukemia Res.* (2007) 32: 516–7. doi: 10.1016/j.leukres.2007.07.001

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

55. Bacher U, Asenova S, Badbaran A, Zander AR, Alchalby H, Fehse B, et al. Bone marrow mesenchymal stromal cells remain of recipient origin after allogeneic SCT and do not harbor the JAK2V617F mutation in patients with myelofibrosis. *Clin Exp Med.* (2009) 10: 205–8. doi: 10.1007/s10238-009-0058-9

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

56. Walkley CR, Shea JM, Sims NA, Purton LE, Orkin, SH. Rb regulates interactions between hematopoietic stem cells and their bone marrow microenvironment. *Cell.* (2007) 129: 1081–95. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.03.055

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

57. Walkley CR, Olsen GH, Dworkin S, Fabb SA, Swann J, McArthur GA, et al. Microenvironment-induced myeloproliferative syndrome caused by retinoic acid receptor γ deficiency. *Cell*. (2007) 129: 1097–110. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2007.05.014

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

58. Decker M, Martinez-Morentin L, Wang G, Lee Y, Liu Q, Leslie J, et al. Leptin-receptor-expressing bone marrow stromal cells are myofibroblasts in primary myelofibrosis. *Nat Cell Biol*. (2017) 19: 677–88. doi: 10.1038/ncb3530

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

59. Schneider RK, Mullally A, Dugourd A, Peisker F, Hoogenboezem R, Strien PMHV, et al. Gli1 + mesenchymal stromal cells are a key driver of bone marrow fibrosis and an important cellular therapeutic target. *Cell Stem Cell*. (2017) 20: 785–800. e8. doi: 10.1016/j.stem.2017.03.008

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

60. Martinaud C, Desterke C, Konopacki J, Pieri L, Torossian F, Golub R, et al. Osteogenic potential of mesenchymal stromal cells contributes to primary myelofibrosis. *Cancer Res*. (2015) 75: 4753–65. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-14-3696

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

61. Estrov Z, Kurzrock R, Wetzler M, Kantarjian H, Blake M, Harris D, et al. Suppression of chronic myelogenous leukemia colony growth by interleukin-1 (IL-1) receptor antagonist and soluble IL-1 receptors: a novel application for inhibitors of IL-1 activity. *Blood*. (1991) 78: 1476–84.

[Google Scholar](#)

62. Kleppe M, Koche R, Zou L, Galen P, Van Hill CE, Dong L, et al. Dual targeting of oncogenic activation and inflammatory signaling increases therapeutic efficacy in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Cancer Cell*. (2018) 33: 29–43. e7. doi: 10.1016/j.ccell.2017.11.009

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

63. Verstovsek S, Kantarjian H, Mesa RA, Pardanani AD, Cortes-Franco J, Thomas DA, et al. Safety and Efficacy of INCB018424, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, in myelofibrosis. *New Engl J Med*. (2010) 363: 1117–27. doi: 10.1056/nejmoa1002028

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

64. Pardanani A, Laborde RR, Lasho TL, Finke C, Begna K, Al-Kali A, et al. Safety and efficacy of CYT387, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, in myelofibrosis. *Leukemia*. (2013) 27: 1322–7. doi: 10.1038/leu.2013.71

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

65. Pardanani A, Gotlib J, Gupta V, Roberts AW, Wadleigh M, Sirhan S, et al. Update on the long-term efficacy and safety of momelotinib, a JAK1 and JAK2

inhibitor, for the treatment of myelofibrosis. *Blood*. (2013) 122: 108. doi: 10.1182/blood.v122.21.108.108

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

66. Asshoff M, Petzer V, Warr MR, Haschka D, Tymoszuk P, Demetz E, et al. Momelotinib inhibits ACVR1/ALK2, decreases hepcidin production and ameliorates anemia of chronic disease in rodents. *Blood*. (2017) 129: 1823–30. doi: 10.1182/blood-2016-09-740092

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

67. Bose P, Verstovsek, S. Developmental therapeutics in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leukemia*. (2017) 17S: S43–52. doi: 10.1016/j.clml.2017.02.014

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

68. Fenaux P, Kiladjan JJ, Platzbecker, U. Luspatercept for the treatment of anemia in myelodysplastic syndromes and primary myelofibrosis. *Blood*. (2019) 133: 790–4. doi: 10.1182/blood-2018-11-876888

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

69. Platzbecker U, Germing U, Götze KS, Kiewe P, Mayer K, Chromik J, et al. Luspatercept for the treatment of anaemia in patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (PACE-MDS): a multicentre, open-label phase 2 dose-finding study with long-term extension study. *Lancet Oncol*. (2017) 18: 1338–47. doi: 10.1016/s1470-2045(17)30615-0

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

70. Kramann R, DiRocco DP, Humphreys, BD. Understanding the origin, activation and regulation of matrix-producing myofibroblasts for treatment of fibrotic disease. *J Pathol.* (2013) 231: 273–89. doi: 10. 1002/path. 4253

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

71. Friedman SL, Sheppard D, Duffield JS, Violette, S. Therapy for fibrotic diseases: nearing the starting line. *Sci Transl Med.* (2013) 5: 167sr1. doi: 10. 1126/scitranslmed. 3004700

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

72. Mascarenhas JO, Talpaz M, Gupta V, Foltz LM, Savona MR, Paquette R, et al. Primary analysis of a phase II open-label trial of INCB039110, a selective JAK1 inhibitor, in patients with myelofibrosis. *Haematologica.* (2016) 102: 327–35. doi: 10. 3324/haematol. 2016. 151126

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

73. Arber DA, Orazi A, Hasserjian R, Thiele J, Borowitz MJ, Beau MML, et al. The 2016 revision to the World Health Organization classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood.* (2016) 127: 2391–405. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2016-03-643544

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

74. Verstovsek S, Mesa RA, Gotlib J, Gupta V, DiPersio JF, Catalano JV, et al. Long-term treatment with ruxolitinib for patients with myelofibrosis: 5-year
<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

update from the randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 COMFORT-I trial. *J Hematol Oncol.* (2017) 10: 55. doi: 10. 1186/s13045-017-0417-z

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

75. Kantarjian HM, Silver RT, Komrokji RS, Mesa RA, Tacke R, Harrison, CN. Ruxolitinib for myelofibrosis—an update of its clinical effects. *Clin Lymphoma Myeloma Leukemia.* (2013) 13: 638–45. doi: 10. 1016/j. clml. 2013. 09. 006

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

76. Verstovsek S, Mesa RA, Gotlib J, Levy RS, Gupta V, DiPersio JF, et al. The clinical benefit of ruxolitinib across patient subgroups: analysis of a placebo-controlled, Phase III study in patients with myelofibrosis. *Br J Haematol.* (2013) 161: 508–16. doi: 10. 1111/bjh. 12274

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

77. Verstovsek S, Mesa RA, Gotlib J, Levy RS, Gupta V, DiPersio JF, et al. A double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of ruxolitinib for myelofibrosis. *New Engl J Med.* (2012) 366: 799–807. doi: 10. 1056/nejmoa1110557

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

78. Harrison C, Kiladjian J-J, Al-Ali HK, Gisslinger H, Waltzman R, Stalbovskaya V, et al. JAK inhibition with ruxolitinib versus best available therapy for myelofibrosis. *New Engl J Med.* (2012) 366: 787–98. doi: 10. 1056/nejmoa1110556

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

79. Harrison CN, Schaap N, Vannucchi AM, Kiladjian J-J, Tiu RV, Zachee P, et al. Janus kinase-2 inhibitor fedratinib in patients with myelofibrosis previously treated with ruxolitinib (JAKARTA-2): a single-arm, open-label, non-randomised, phase 2, multicentre study. *Lancet Haematol.* (2017) 4: e317-24. doi: 10. 1016/s2352-3026(17)30088-1

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

80. Pardanani A, Gotlib JR, Jamieson C, Cortes JE, Talpaz M, Stone RM, et al. Safety and efficacy of TG101348, a selective JAK2 inhibitor, in myelofibrosis. *J Clin Oncol.* (2011) 29: 789-96. doi: 10. 1200/jco. 2010. 32. 8021

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

81. Pardanani A, Harrison C, Cortes JE, Cervantes F, Mesa RA, Milligan D, et al. Safety and efficacy of fedratinib in patients with primary or secondary myelofibrosis: a randomized clinical trial. *Jama Oncol.* (2015) 1: 643-51. doi: 10. 1001/jamaoncol. 2015. 1590

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

82. Pardanani A, Tefferi A, Jamieson C, Gabrail NY, Lebedinsky C, Gao G, et al. phase 2 randomized dose-ranging study of the JAK2-selective inhibitor fedratinib (SAR302503) in patients with myelofibrosis. *Blood Cancer J.* (2015) 5: e335. doi: 10. 1038/bcj. 2015. 63

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

83. Espasandin YR, Glembotsky AC, Grodzielski M, Lev PR, Goette NP, Molinas FC, et al. Anagrelide platelet-lowering effect is due to inhibition of both megakaryocyte maturation and proplatelet formation: insight into potential mechanisms. *J Thromb Haemost.* (2015) 13: 631–42. doi: 10.1111/jth. 12850

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

84. Gisslinger H, Gotic M, Holowiecki J, Penka M, Thiele J, Kvasnicka H-M, et al. Anagrelide compared with hydroxyurea in WHO-classified essential thrombocythemia: the ANAHYDRET Study, a randomized controlled trial. *Blood.* (2013) 121: 1720–8. doi: 10.1182/blood-2012-07-443770

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

85. Gangat N, Marinaccio C, Swords R, Watts JM, Gurbuxani S, Rademaker A, et al. Aurora kinase a inhibition provides clinical benefit, normalizes megakaryocytes, and reduces bone marrow fibrosis in patients with myelofibrosis: a phase I trial. *Clin Cancer Res.* (2019) 25: 4898–906. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.ccr-19-1005

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

86. Sprüssel A, Schulte JH, Weber S, Necke M, Händschke K, Thor T, et al. Lysine-specific demethylase 1 restricts hematopoietic progenitor proliferation and is essential for terminal differentiation. *Leukemia.* (2012) 26: 2039–51. doi: 10.1038/leu.2012.157

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

87. Jutzi JS, Kleppe M, Dias J, Staehle HF, Shank K, Teruya-Feldstein J, et al. LSD1 inhibition prolongs survival in mouse models of MPN by selectively targeting the disease clone. *Hemasphere*. (2018) 2: e54. doi: 10. 1097/hs9.0000000000000054

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

88. Senis YA, Tomlinson MG, García, Á, Dumon S, Heath VL, Herbert J, et al. A comprehensive proteomics and genomics analysis reveals novel transmembrane proteins in human platelets and mouse megakaryocytes including G6b-B, a novel immunoreceptor tyrosine-based inhibitory motif protein. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. (2006) 6: 548–64. doi: 10. 1074/mcp. d600007-mcp200

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

89. Coxon CH, Geer MJ, Senis, YA. ITIM receptors: more than just inhibitors of platelet activation. *Blood*. (2017) 129: 3407–18. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2016-12-720185

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

90. Leiva O, Ng SK, Chitalia S, Balduini A, Matsuura S, Ravid, K. The role of the extracellular matrix in primary myelofibrosis. *Blood Cancer J*. (2017) 7: e525. doi: 10. 1038/bcj. 2017. 6

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

91. Lucero HA, Kagan, HM. Lysyl oxidase: an oxidative enzyme and effector of cell function. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* (2006) 63: 2304–16. doi: 10. 1007/s00018-006-6149-9

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

92. Abbonante V, Chitalia V, Rosti V, Leiva O, Matsuura S, Balduini A, et al. Upregulation of lysyl oxidase and adhesion to collagen of human megakaryocytes and platelets in primary myelofibrosis. *Blood.* (2017) 130: 829–31. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2017-04-777417

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

93. Tadmor T, Bejar J, Attias D, Mischenko E, Sabo E, Neufeld G, et al. The expression of lysyl-oxidase gene family members in myeloproliferative neoplasms. *Am J Hematol.* (2013) 88: 355–8. doi: 10. 1002/ajh. 23409

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

94. Chang J, Lucas MC, Leonte LE, Garcia-Montolio M, Singh LB, Findlay AD, et al. Pre-clinical evaluation of small molecule LOXL2 inhibitors in breast cancer. *Oncotarget.* (2017) 5: 26066–78. doi: 10. 18632/oncotarget. 15257

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

95. Schilter H, Findlay AD, Perryman L, Yow TT, Moses J, Zahoor A, et al. The lysyl oxidase like 2/3 enzymatic inhibitor, PXS-5153A, reduces crosslinks and ameliorates fibrosis. *J Cell Mol Med.* (2018) 23: 1759–70. doi: 10. 1111/jcmm. 14074

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

96. Leiva O, Ng SK, Matsuura S, Chitalia V, Lucero H, Findlay A, et al. Novel lysyl oxidase inhibitors attenuate hallmarks of primary myelofibrosis in mice. *Int J Hematol.* (2019) 110: 699–708. doi: 10. 1007/s12185-019-02751-6

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

97. Verstovsek S, Savona MR, Mesa RA, Dong H, Maltzman JD, Sharma S, et al. A phase 2 study of simtuzumab in patients with primary, post-polycythaemia vera or post-essential thrombocythaemia myelofibrosis. *Brit J Haematol.* (2017) 176: 939–49. doi: 10. 1111/bjh. 14501

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

98. Kramann R, Schneider, RK. The identification of fibrosis-driving myofibroblast precursors reveals new therapeutic avenues in myelofibrosis. *Blood.* (2018) 131: 2111–9. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2018-02-834820

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

99. Bhagwat N, Keller MD, Rampal RK, Shank K, Stanchina E, De Rose K, et al. Improved efficacy of combination Of JAK2 and hedgehog inhibitors in myelofibrosis. *Blood.* (2013) 122: 666. doi: 10. 1182/blood. v122. 21. 666. 666

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

100. Harrison CN, Patriarca A, Mascarenhas J, Kremyanskaya M, Hoffman R, Schiller GJ, et al. Preliminary report of MANIFEST, a phase 2 Study of CPI-
<https://assignbuster.com/remodeling-the-bone-marrow-microenvironment-a-proposal-for-targeting-pro-inflammatory-contributors-in-mpn/>

0610, a bromodomain and extraterminal domain inhibitor (BETi), in combination with ruxolitinib, in JAK inhibitor (JAKi) treatment naïve myelofibrosis patients. *Blood*. (2019) 134: 4164. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2019-128211

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

101. Mascarenhas J, Kremyanskaya M, Hoffman R, Bose P, Talpaz M, Harrison CN, et al. MANIFEST, a phase 2 study of CPI-0610, a bromodomain and extraterminal domain inhibitor (BETi), As monotherapy or “ add-on” to ruxolitinib, in patients with refractory or intolerant advanced myelofibrosis. *Blood*. (2019) 134: 670. doi: 10. 1182/blood-2019-127119

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)