

Autism spectrum disorder: why do we know so little?

[Health & Medicine](#)



**ASSIGN
BUSTER**

In the last 50 years, research in Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) has constantly grown. However, etiology and pathogenesis of this disorder are still a matter of speculation. Thus, without reliable biomarkers ASD continues to be defined only by symptoms, being diagnosed by observing behavior and by asking questions to caregivers. As a result, established treatments for ASD core symptoms are still lacking. These limitations in knowledge are to some degree justified by the complexity and great heterogeneity of the ASD clinical phenotypes. Nevertheless, we maintain that recent developments in the understanding of gene-environment interactions are opening up new research outlooks, which might eventually lead to a leap forward in our comprehension and treatment of this disorder.

Throughout the twentieth century ASD research, like many other conditions, has been the victim of virulent debates about the role of environmental vs. genetic determinants of disease, which sometimes turned into ideological rather than scientific face-offs. Autism too has swung between the “solely environment” approach of Bettelheim age and the “solely genetic” attitude of more recent periods. However, today we are more aware than ever that the large majority, if not all, disease processes—as well as human differences—are determined by both genetics and the environment.

According to the current DSM-5 definition, the core symptoms of ASD consist in persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts and in restricted, repetitive patterns of behavior, interests, or activities, which lead to clinically significant impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of current functioning ([1](#)). These impairments, piling up, unfold in a large variability of clinical phenotypes. <https://assignbuster.com/autism-spectrum-disorder-why-do-we-know-so-little/>

Some people present with intellectual disability, while others score above average on intelligence tests but struggle to communicate verbally or make compulsively repetitive movements. In some individuals, the disorder reveals itself only subtly so that a first diagnosis is made in adulthood ([2](#)). This wide diversity in ASD phenotypes has led researchers to link the disorder to sundry genetic and environmental risk factors, which might act in relation to each other.

In 2016, there were an estimated 62 million cases of ASD worldwide, accounting for a prevalence of 0.83% ([3](#)). In terms of disease burden, ASD accounted globally for more than 9 million Years Lived with Disability and for 121 Disability Adjusted Life Years per 100,000 population ([4](#)). In high-income countries, ASD prevalence has been estimated to be about 1% across all ages ([2](#)). However, according to a recently published US surveillance study, in 2014 the overall ASD prevalence in 8-year old children was 1.68% ([5](#)). This new estimate represents a 15% and a 150% increase over 2012 and 2000, respectively. Therefore, ASD prevalence in the US appears to have increased in the last decades, but the causes of this surge are not yet fully understood.

During the first decades of this century, developments in gene-hunting techniques identified several ASD associated genes, including genes that code for proteins involved in synaptic functions ([6](#), [7](#)). So far, no major causative gene has been isolated, but hundreds of risk genes have been suggested, with either highly penetrant rare variants or common variants with little effects. Some advancements in the detection of rare genetic

variants have been made by studying genetic syndromes, which are known to contribute to the risk of ASD ([8](#)). As a matter of fact, the study of ASD that comes along with some genetic conditions—the “ syndromic ASD”—is beginning to shed a new light on the pathophysiology of the disorder ([9](#)). ASD phenotypes are, e. g., more likely in individuals with tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC), Fragile X, Rett, or Angelman syndromes. By studying ASD associated with these diseases, some evidence is emerging that even heterogeneous ASD phenotypes might possibly present with a convergent pathophysiology, namely a dysregulation of intracellular pathways ([6](#)). Recently, some lessons have been learned from TSC, a disorder caused by a mutation in the TSC1 or TSC2 gene, which exhibits an overactivation of the mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathway, with ensuing alteration of cell proliferation and differentiation accompanied by an increased risk of autism ([10](#)). Recent findings of our group showed how persistent seizures could have an additive effect, increasing the likelihood of autistic behaviors in infants with TSC ([11](#)). This condition has been used also as a model to investigate the role of the cerebellum in the pathogenesis of ASD ([12](#)). Overall, there is now the actual possibility that integrated genomic approaches, supported by advanced mathematical modeling, might lead to a better understanding of the pre and post-natal pathogenetic mechanisms of ASD and to the development of personalized molecularly targeted therapies ([13](#)). In addition, genome-wide association studies are increasingly considered an effective methodology to detect links between a common variant located in a particular DNA regions and the risk of developing ASD; however, the more a scrutinized variant is common, the

more such studies require large sample sizes ([14](#)). Despite such meaningful headways, the identified variants—according to models—appear to be merely the initial ones of a much larger array ([15](#)). At any rate, the clinical heterogeneity of ASD seems to be related to a genetic heterogeneity as well.

On the other hand, even if in ASD the genetic component is substantial, a co-presence of several environmental factors has to be considered as well. Twin studies point to a heritability of the disorder, but also to a role of the environment ([16](#)). Possible environmental risk factors include advanced parents' age, pregnancy complications and maternal conditions, organic toxicants, air pollution, or medication exposure during pregnancy ([17](#)). Several of these factors can produce an effect on brain development in the course of pre and perinatal periods. Nonetheless, determining the causal pathway of environmental risk factors is challenging as they can act directly on the CNS or indirectly through other biological mechanisms. Cesarean section, e. g., is an alleged risk factor for several neurodevelopmental disorders, including ASD ([18](#)). Its effect might be direct or indirect by altering the characteristics of the intestinal microbiome of the newborn, which in turn could play a role in the development of autism ([19](#)).

When considering the role of the environment, it is likewise important to highlight that nowadays we recognize that also the pre-natal environment plays a role in the development of ASD. Increasing evidence, especially from large twin studies, shows that the uterine environment has an important impact on development, and that the mother's health can deeply influence the long-term mental and physical health of the developing embryo or fetus (

[20](#)). In this perspective, ASD might be considered, at least in some cases, a disorder of fetal programming. While we are becoming more aware that some environmental factors wield their effect particularly during the pre-natal period—a crucial phase of life—there is the need to better appraise how genetics interacts with the environment in the womb. When pondering the extensive variability of ASD phenotypes, it is quite likely that it is related to an interplay of genetic, environmental, and uterine environmental factors ([21](#)). Researchers are actually increasingly considering a major role for multiple gene-environmental interactions, which might be one of the main causes of the wide inter-individual heterogeneity of ASD ([16](#)). However, such a multiplicity of factors makes it difficult to determine which one can be considered the primary cause in each case. All these influences are probably important in some way, but how important and how they interact are still open questions. Nonetheless, these complex interactions call for a possible pivotal role of epigenetic mechanisms, which can allow the environment to modulate gene expression and shape the clinical phenotype. Several observations seem to point toward the existence of epigenetic dysregulation in ASD, implying the possibility that some factors exert their effects through epigenetic alterations, which in some cases are already present in the newborns ([22](#), [23](#)). Nevertheless, such evidence is not conclusive. To further expand these essential research fields, there is the need to set up revamped well-designed community-based epidemiological studies.

Thus, not only the etiology, but also the pathogenesis of the disorder is still a matter of speculation; notwithstanding statistical associations, possible causal pathways have yet to be confirmed. Consequently, effective

treatments for core ASD symptoms are so far unavailable ([24](#)). Actually, this state of things is comparable to that of several other psychiatric disorders ([25](#)).

Yet, in recent years advanced neuroimaging techniques, including diffusion tensor imaging and tractography ([26](#)), informed our understanding of possible brain correlates in people with ASD. Alterations of the cortical/subcortical architecture ([27](#)), as well as of the connectome ([11](#), [28](#), [29](#)) of people with ASD are beginning to be spotted. Several studies sustain now the hypothesis of an early and extensive abnormal brain development, where the required balance between growth and phased pruning seems to be muddled up ([30](#)). These atypical developmental trajectories, sustained by both enlarged brain volumes and abnormal connectivity ([31](#), [32](#)), might come about even before the presence of manifest clinical symptoms ([33](#)). The considerable amount of neuroimaging data produced to date seems to point toward an imbalance of functions requiring communications between distinct brain regions and intraregional circuitry ([30](#)). However, further research is needed to be better able to comprehend atypical developmental trajectories in ASD; these should include multicenter prospective studies starting during the pre-natal period as well as large pooled analyses of neuroimaging data.

As a whole, we deem that the existing data support the hypothesis that the mechanism underlying ASD etiology results from the effects of diverse gene-environment interactions, with possible cumulative or even multiplicative effects not only at individual level, but also through the generations. The

accumulation of these interplaying factors might explain—on top of an extension of diagnostic criteria—the likely increase in ASD prevalence.

However, we believe that if the knowledge of the causes and treatment of ASD is not yet compelling, it might be due to some intertwined reasons. Firstly, genetic/environmental interactions—and thus epigenetic factors—were poorly understood until now, and therefore have been taken too little into account. Secondly, decennial trends have been approached with epidemiological instruments that were not sensitive enough to detect long-lasting events. Lastly, ASD has been often studied as a distinct nosological entity, and not as part of a continuum, which can embrace other neurodevelopmental disorders ([34](#)). In fact, the co-occurrence of different disorders seems to be the norm rather than the exception. Actually, ASD increasingly appears to be not a single disorder, but a blend of common core symptoms accompanied by a large variability of other symptoms.

These drawbacks call for the need of methodologies that can take into account the complexity and heterogeneity of ASD, aiming to detect the interplay of the diverse and multiple risk and protective factors associated with ASD. We believe that the gateway to disentangle such complex interactions is to set up large genetically-informed long-term prospective studies, so to be able to measure early environmental exposures in relation to developments over time and to their interactions with genetics. These are necessary because the turning-out of an ASD phenotype is a dynamic process, which often continues to develop after diagnosis. Likewise, the

interactions between an individual and his/her environment are not static, but always on the go.

The ecological, cross-sectional, or case-control studies commonly used in these contexts bear several limitations. These can be overcome only by cohort studies, including multi-generational ones. Such studies, while being carried out, allow taking into account new genetic/epigenetic evidence, as well as data arising from cellular, computational, or animal model systems.

Advances in technologies and widespread databases are continuing to disentangle the genetic aspects of ASD. Now that we are beginning to enlighten some of the epigenetic aspects of ASD, all the possible environmental risk factors should be looked at also from the perspective of a potential interconnection with genetics, in order to examine possible causal pathways and whether and how genetics interacts with environment in the development of the different phenotypes. Epidemiological terminology and study designs have to increasingly consider innovative methodologies, which make use of newly developed biomedical informatics, so as to integrate clinical, environmental and genetic data, including the use of biobanks or integrated databases. Only the integration of genetic and epigenetic data will facilitate a better understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in autism. In this framework, mold-breaking cohort studies can allow tracking disease trajectories, so as to connect subtle clinical changes with the genetic heterogeneity of the disorder.

In the last decades, we achieved crucial advances in ASD research thanks to detailed analyses of clinical features, neurological abnormalities,

<https://assignbuster.com/autism-spectrum-disorder-why-do-we-know-so-little/>

environmental factors and genetic characteristics. Innovative breakthroughs might possibly come from a novel synthesis of all these components.

Author Contributions

PC and LE both contributed to the design of this study, independently reviewed the literature and participated to the writing of the draft. They both approved the final submitted version.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

References

1. American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders: Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* , 5th Edn. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Association (2013).
2. Lai MC, Baron-Cohen S. Identifying the lost generation of adults with autism spectrum conditions. *Lancet Psychiatry* (2015) 2: 1013–27. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00277-1

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

3. Vos T, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 328 diseases and injuries for 195 countries, 1990–2016: a

systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* (2017) 390: 1211–59. doi: 10. 1016/S0140-6736(17)32154-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

4. Hay SI, Abajobir AA, Abate KH, Abbafati C, Abbas KM, Abd-Allah F, et al. Global, regional, and national disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs) for 333 diseases and injuries and healthy life expectancy (HALE) for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet* (2017) 390: 1260–344. doi: 10. 1016/S0140-6736(17)32130-X

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

5. Baio J, Wiggins L, Christensen DL, Maenner MJ, Daniels J, Warren Z, et al. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder among children aged 8 years—autism and developmental disabilities monitoring network, 11 sites, United States, 2014. *MMWR Surveill Summ* . (2018) 67: 1–23. doi: 10. 15585/mmwr.ss6706a1

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

6. Geschwind DH, State MW. Gene hunting in autism spectrum disorder: on the path to precision medicine. *Lancet Neurol*. (2015) 14: 1109–20. doi: 10. 1016/S1474-4422(15)00044-7

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

7. Curatolo P, Ben-Ari Y, Bozzi Y, Catania MV, D'Angelo E, Mapelli L, et al. Synapses as therapeutic targets for autism spectrum disorders: an international symposium held in Pavia on July 4th, 2014. *Front Cell Neurosci.* (2014) 8: 309. doi: 10.3389/fncel.2014.00309

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

8. Betancur C. Etiological heterogeneity in autism spectrum disorders: more than 100 genetic and genomic disorders and still counting. *Brain Res.* (2011) 1380: 42–77. doi: 10.1016/j.brainres.2010.11.078

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

9. Richards C, Jones C, Groves L, Moss J, Oliver C. Prevalence of autism spectrum disorder phenomenology in genetic disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Psychiatry* (2015) 2: 909–16. doi: 10.1016/S2215-0366(15)00376-4

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

10. Curatolo P, Moavero R, de Vries PJ. Neurological and neuropsychiatric aspects of tuberous sclerosis complex. *Lancet Neurol.* (2015) 14: 733–45. doi: 10.1016/S1474-4422(15)00069-1

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

11. Moavero R, Napolitano A, Cusmai R, Vigevano F, Figà-Talamanca L, Calbi G, et al. White matter disruption is associated with persistent seizures in

tuberous sclerosis complex. *Epilepsy Behav.* (2016) 60: 63–7. doi: 10. 1016/j. yebbeh. 2016. 04. 026

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

12. Tsai PT, Hull C, Chu Y, Greene-Colozzi E, Sadowski AR, Leech JM, et al. Autistic-like behaviour and cerebellar dysfunction in Purkinje cell Tsc1 mutant mice. *Nature* (2012) 488: 647–51. doi: 10. 1038/nature11310

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

13. Stoner R, Chow ML, Boyle MP, Sunkin SM, Mouton PR, Roy S, et al. Patches of disorganization in the neocortex of children with autism. *N Engl J Med.* (2014) 370: 1209–19. doi: 10. 1056/NEJMoa1307491

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

14. Anney R, Klei L, Pinto D, Almeida J, Bacchelli E, Baird G, et al. Individual common variants exert weak effects on the risk for autism spectrum disorders. *Hum Mol Genet.* (2012) 21: 4781–92. doi: 10. 1093/hmg/dds301

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

15. Sanders SJ, He X, Willsey AJ, Ercan-Sencicek AG, Samocha KE, Cicek AE, et al. Autism Sequencing Consortium. Insights into autism spectrum disorder genomic architecture and biology from 71 risk loci. *Neuron* (2015) 87: 1215–33. doi: 10. 1016/j. neuron. 2015. 09. 016

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

16. Kim YS, Leventha BL. Genetic epidemiology and insights into interactive genetic and environmental effects in autism spectrum disorders. *Biol Psychiatry* (2015) 77: 66–74. doi: 10. 1016/j. biopsych. 2014. 11. 001

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

17. Lyall K, Croen L, Daniels J, Fallin MD, Ladd-Acosta C, Lee BK, et al. The changing epidemiology of autism spectrum disorders. *Annu Rev Public Health* (2017) 38: 81–102. doi: 10. 1146/annurev-publhealth-031816-044318

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

18. Emberti Gialloreti L, Benvenuto A, Benassi F, Curatolo P. Are caesarean sections, induced labor and oxytocin regulation linked to autism spectrum disorders? *Med Hypotheses* (2014) 82: 713–8. doi: 10. 1016/j. mehy. 2014. 03. 011

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

19. Buie T. Potential etiologic factors of microbiome disruption in autism. *Clin Ther.* (2015) 37: 976–83. doi: 10. 1016/j. clinthera. 2015. 04. 001

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

20. Hallmayer J, Cleveland S, Torres A, Phillips J, Cohen B, Torigoe T, et al. Genetic heritability and shared environmental factors among twin pairs with autism. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* (2011) 68: 1095–102. doi: 10. 1001/archgenpsychiatry. 2011. 76

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

<https://assignbuster.com/autism-spectrum-disorder-why-do-we-know-so-little/>

21. Deng W, Zou X, Deng H, Li J, Tang C, Wang X, et al. The relationship among genetic heritability, environmental effects, and autism spectrum disorders: 37 pairs of ascertained twin study. *J Child Neurol* . (2015) 30: 1794-9. doi: 10. 1177/0883073815580645

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

22. Volk HE, Lurmann F, Penfold B, Hertz-Picciotto I, McConnell R. Traffic-related air pollution, particulate matter, and autism. *JAMA Psychiatry* (2013) 70: 71-7. doi: 10. 1001/jamapsychiatry. 2013. 266

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

23. Hannon E, Schendel D, Ladd-Acosta C, Grove J, iPSYCH-Broad ASD Group, Hansen CS, et al. Elevated polygenic burden for autism is associated with differential DNA methylation at birth. *Genome Med.* (2018) 10: 19. doi: 10. 1186/s13073-018-0527-4

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

24. Zachor DA, Curatolo P, Participants of Italian-Israeli Consensus Conference. Recommendations for early diagnosis and intervention in autism spectrum disorders: an Italian-Israeli consensus conference. *Eur J Paediatr Neurol.* (2014) 18: 107-18. doi: 10. 1016/j. ejpn. 2013. 09. 002

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

25. Millan MJ, Goodwin GM, Meyer-Lindenberg A, Ove Ögren S. Learning from the past and looking to the future: emerging perspectives for improving the

treatment of psychiatric disorders. *Eur Neuropsychopharmacol.* (2015) 25: 599–656. doi: 10.1016/j.euroneuro.2015.01.016

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

26. Pardini M, Garaci FG, Bonzano L, Roccatagliata L, Palmieri MG, Pompili E, et al. White matter reduced streamline coherence in young men with autism and mental retardation. *Eur J Neurol.* (2009) 16: 1185–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1468-1331.2009.02699.x

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

27. Hong SJ, Valk SL, Di Martino A, Milham MP, Bernhardt BC. Multidimensional neuroanatomical subtyping of autism spectrum disorder. *Cereb Cortex* (2017) 14: 1–11. doi: 10.1093/cercor/bhx229

[CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

28. Pardini M, Elia M, Garaci FG, Guida S, Coniglione F, Krueger F, et al. Long-term cognitive and behavioral therapies, combined with augmentative communication, are related to uncinate fasciculus integrity in autism. *J Autism Dev Disord.* (2012) 42: 585–92. doi: 10.1007/s10803-011-1281-2

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

29. Di Martino A, O'Connor D, Chen B, Alaerts K, Anderson JS, Assaf M, et al. Enhancing studies of the connectome in autism using the autism brain imaging data exchange II. *Sci Data* (2017) 4: 170010. doi: 10.1038/sdata.2017.10

<https://assignbuster.com/autism-spectrum-disorder-why-do-we-know-so-little/>

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

30. Muhle RA, Reed HE, Stratigos KA, Veenstra-VanderWeele J. The emerging clinical neuroscience of autism spectrum disorder. A review. *JAMA Psychiatry* (2018) 75: 514–23. doi: 10. 1001/jamapsychiatry. 2017. 4685

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

31. Lewis JD, Evans AC, Pruett JR Jr, Botteron KN, McKinstry RC, Zwaigenbaum L, et al. The emergence of network inefficiencies in infants with autism spectrum disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* (2017) 82: 176–85. doi: 10. 1016/j. biopsych. 2017. 03. 006

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

32. Wolff JJ, Jacob S, Elison JT. The journey to autism: insights from neuroimaging studies of infants and toddlers. *Dev Psychopathol.* (2018) 30: 479–95. doi: 10. 1017/S0954579417000980

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

33. Emerson RW, Adams C, Nishino T, Hazlett HC, Wolff JJ, Zwaigenbaum L, et al. Functional neuroimaging of high-risk 6-month-old infants predicts a diagnosis of autism at 24 months of age. *Sci Transl Med.* (2017) 9: eaag2882. doi: 10. 1126/scitranslmed. aag2882

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)

34. Owen MJ, O'Donovan MC. Schizophrenia and the neurodevelopmental continuum: evidence from genomics. *World Psychiatry* (2017) 16: 227–35. doi: 10.1002/wps.20440

[PubMed Abstract](#) | [CrossRef Full Text](#) | [Google Scholar](#)